Debate of Cardiovascular Imaging; 1. Diagnosis of CAD: CT or MPI

세브란스병원 SEVERANC

### Diagnosis of Coronary Artery Disease: Computed Tomography

Young Jin Kim Department of Radiology Yonsei University Health System

### Noninvasive Diagnosis of CAD

- Detection of hemodynamic consequences ("ischemia")
  - Nuclear imaging, stress Echo or MRI
- Detection of atherosclerosis
  - CAC scoring
  - CCTA

### Value of CAC scoring

- CAC  $\approx$  total atherosclerotic plaque burden
- Not site-specific
- Independent incremental information in addition to traditional risk factors for prediction of all-cause mortality
- Most useful for prognostification rather than detection of CAD

### Value of CAC scoring



From a registry of 25,253 patients C-index; CAC 0.757, age 0.771, gender <0.586, FHx 0.440, smoking 0.573, DM 0.577, ethnicity 0.518, hyperlipidemia 0.484, HTN 0.562

Budoff et al. 2007 JACC



### **Computed Tomography**



### Value of Cardiac MDCT

- Assessment of coronary artery stenosis
- Plaque imaging
  - Plaque composition
  - Total plaque burden
- Additional information
  - It's just not coronaries!
  - Cardiac/Extracardiac

### Value of Cardiac MDCT

- Assessment of coronary artery stenosis
- Plaque imaging
  - Plaque composition
  - Total plaque burden
- Additional information
  - It's just not coronaries!
  - Cardiac/extracardiac

#### Pooled Sensitivity and Specificity and Overall Diagnostic Performance of Multidetector CT Angiography according to Type of Analysis and CT Scanner

	Analysis and CT Scanner Type	No. of Studies	Combined Data*	Sensitivity <sup>†</sup>	Specificity <sup>†</sup>	D Value <sup>†</sup>
				y		
Per-segment analysis						
	Four detector	18	8209	0.84 (0.81, 0.88)	0.93 (0.91, 0.95)	4.47 (4.00, 4.94)
	16 detector	25	17 340	0.83 (0.76, 0.90)	0.96 (0.95, 0.97)	5.00 (4.26, 5.74)
	64 detector	6	5030	0.93 (0.88, 0.97)	0.96 (0.96, 0.97)	5.78 (4.96, 6.60)
	Per-vessel analysis					
	Four detector	3	491	0.87 (0.78, 0.96)	0.87 (0.73, 1.00)	3.97 (2.09, 5.85)
	16 detector	6	1601	0.93 (0.89, 0.97)	0.92 (0.89, 0.96)	4.88 (3.93, 5.84)
	64 detector	2	597	0.95 (0.91, 0.99)	0.93 (0.90, 0.95)	5.51 (4.54, 6.48)
	Per-patient analysis					
	Four detector	7	357	0.91 (0.87, 0.95)	0.83 (0.68, 0.99)	3.41 (2.46, 4.37)
	16 detector	11	704	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)	0.81 (0.72, 0.90)	4.06 (3.00, 5.12)
	64 detector	6	363	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.93 (0.89, 0.98)	5.00 (3.89, 6.11)

\* Data are the combined number of segments, vessels, or patients from the studies included in the per-segment, per-vessel, or per-patient analysis, respectively.

<sup>†</sup> Data are proportions. Numbers in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals (Cls).  $D = \log$  of diagnostic odds ratio.

Meta-analysis of CTA for assessment of symptomatic CAD ('98~'06, 54 studies). Radiology. 2007 Aug

# Current status of MDCT in assessing CAD

- ↑ detector number
  - $\downarrow \downarrow$  nonassessable segments
  - † diagnostic performance
- Excellent sensitivity and NPV in patient-based analysis! (MPI- lower specificity)
- Temporal resolution 83ms/ Spatial resolution 0.4mm/ Beta blocker/ Arrhythmia
- Limitation of study population

#### **Coronary CTA for ER patients with chest pain**

- 2.1% of AMI, 2.3% of unstable angina mistakenly discharged
- Rubinshtein et al (Circulation 2007;115:1762-8)
  - 58 ED patients /c chest pain of uncertain origin
  - Dx of ACS; sens 100%, spec 92%, PPV 87%, NPV 100%
  - During f/u(15mo)- no MACE in 35 pts discharged from ED after initial triage
  - CT provides early direct PCI

#### **Real Culprit lesion?**

### **Cardiac SPECT/CT Fusion**



*Gaemperli et al. JNM* 2007;48:696-703

## Value of Cardiac MDCT

- Assessment of coronary artery stenosis
- Plaque imaging
  - Plaque composition
  - Total plaque burden
- Additional information
  - It's just not coronaries!
  - Cardiac/extracardiac

### Plaque characterization using CT





	Hypoechoi c plaque	Hyperechoic plaque	Calcified plaque
Leber et al.	49±22	91±22	391±156
Schroeder et al.	14±26	91±21	419±194
Rasouli et al. (EBT)	30±33	105	395±230



### Plaque Characterization & Volume Quantification



### Accuracy of Plaque Volume Quantification

- MDCT substantially underestimates plaque volume per segment as compared with IVUS.
- Technical restrictions prevent an exact separation of lumen, plaque, and vessel wall.-- Edge definition of the outer vessel boundary



Leber et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:672–7

#### F/49 Atypical chest pain CAC-0, hypercholesterolemia







**Patients with noncalcified plaques** 

- higher TChol, LDL, and CRP/ more DM

#### **CAD** in asymptomatic diabetics



Prevalence of CAD and plaque morphology in Asx DM patients *Scholete et al. Heart* 2007

#### **Regression of Coronary Atherosclerotic Plaque as Shown by CT Arteriography**





Johnson, K. M. et al. Am. J. Roentgenol. 2006

### Potential of plaque CT

- Early detection of CAD
- Prognostic information/ risk stratification
- Therapeutic monitoring
- Pathogenesis of atherosclerosis

## Value of Cardiac MDCT

- Assessment of coronary artery stenosis
- Plaque imaging
  - Plaque composition
  - Total plaque burden
- Additional information
  - It's just not coronaries!
  - Cardiac/extracardiac





















### Extracardiac Findings at Cardiac CT

- Noncardiac findings (2007 JTI)
  - 25~61% of cases
  - Major findings: 5~10%
- Haller et al (2006 AJR)
  - 41 extracardiac findings of 166 pts
  - 2 lung ca, 3 pneumonia, 1 PE
- Patel et al (2005 AJR)
  - 60 extracardiac findings of 98 pts
  - 16 nodules(>4mm, 2 lung ca), 12 LNE, 3 mediastinal mass, 1 PE, 7 liver lesions

### As a first-line imaging

- Accuracy
- Radiation dose
- Iodine contrast
- Cost effectiveness

### **Radiation dose**

- ECG based dose modulation
  - Minidose: low dose plateau- 4% of max. dose
- Adaptive pitch
- ECG gating
  - Retrospectively gated helical mode
  - Prospectively gated axial mode

### **MDCT with Prospective Gating**



Prospectively gated axial acquisition



X-ray exposure time



### **Radiation dose**

Protocols with uncompromised image Quality	Dose
Cardiac Helical CT	20-25 mSv*
Cardiac Helical CT with ECG modulation	6-15 mSv*
Cardiac Axial CT with prospective ECG gating	3-6 mSv*
Calcium Scoring	~0.6mSv

Dose Re	ferences	Dose	
Chest radiographs – 2 views		0.08 mSv	
Mammogram Natural Background (Annual) Diagnostic Cath		0.13 mSv	
		3 mSv	
		1-10 mSv	
Nuclear	Tc-99m MIBI (rest only) Tc-99m MIBI (rest+stress) TI-201 <sub>(rest+stress)</sub>	4 – 5 mSv 9 – 13 mSv ∼34 mSv	

### Summary; CT in CAD

- Intermediate likelihood of CAD or aSx highrisk patients -1<sup>st</sup> line noninvasive imaging
- Value of CT as plaque imaging
- Valuable additional information
- Atherosclerosis vs Ischemia
  - Therapeutic modality
  - Risk factor modification